



10-31-02

1732#6  
11-05-02

PTO/SB/21 (08-00)

Approved for use through 10/31/2002. OMB 0651-0031

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office: U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

Please type a plus sign (+) inside this box → ☐

Under the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, no persons are required to respond to a collection of information unless it displays a valid OMB control number.

# TRANSMITTAL FORM

(to be used for all correspondence after initial filing)

Application Number	10/005,085
Filing Date	December 4, 2001
First Named Inventor	Anthony C. Mulligan
Group Art Unit	1732
Examiner Name	not assigned
Attorney Docket Number	003248.00045

Total Number of Pages in This Submission

RECEIVED  
NOV 01 2002  
TC-1700

## ENCLOSURES (check all that apply)

- ☐ Fee Transmittal Form
  - ☐ Fee Attached
- ☐ Amendment / Response
  - ☐ After Final
  - ☐ Affidavits/declaration(s)
- ☐ Extension of Time Request
- ☐ Express Abandonment Request
- ☒ Information Disclosure Statement
- ☐ Certified Copy of Priority Document(s)
- ☐ Response to Missing Parts/Incomplete Application
  - ☐ Response to Missing Parts under 37 CFR 1.52 or 1.53

- ☐ Assignment Papers (for an Application)
- ☐ Drawing(s)
- ☐ Licensing-related Papers
- ☐ Petition
- ☐ Petition to Convert to a Provisional Application
- ☐ Power of Attorney, Revocation Change of Correspondence Address
- ☐ Terminal Disclaimer
- ☐ Request for Refund
- ☐ CD, Number of CD(s) \_\_\_\_\_

- ☐ After Allowance Communication to Group
- ☐ Appeal Communication to Board of Appeals and Interferences
- ☐ Appeal Communication to Group (Appeal Notice, Brief Reply Brief)
- ☐ Proprietary Information
- ☐ Status Letter
- ☒ Other Enclosure(s) (please identify below):  
**Copy 5 Cited References certificate of mailing and return postcard**

Remarks

Supplemental Information Disclosure Statement with copy of cited references.

Please charge any additional fees or credit overpayments to the Deposit Account No. 19-0733.

## SIGNATURE OF APPLICANT, ATTORNEY, OR AGENT

Firm or Individual name	Rebecca P. Rokos Reg. No. 42,109
Signature	<i>Rebecca P. Rokos</i>
Date	October 30, 2002

RECEIVED  
NOV -1 2002  
TC-1700 MAIL ROOM

## CERTIFICATE OF MAILING

I hereby certify that this correspondence is being deposited with the United States Postal Service as first class mail in an envelope addressed to: Assistant Commissioner for Patents, Washington, D.C. 20231 on this date:

Typed or printed name

Signature

Date

Burden Hour Statement: This form is estimated to take 0.2 hours to complete. Time will vary depending upon the needs of the individual case. Any comments on the amount of time you are required to complete this form should be sent to the Chief Information Officer, U.S. Patent and Trademark Office, Washington, DC 20231. DO NOT SEND FEES OR COMPLETED FORMS TO THIS ADDRESS. SEND TO: Assistant Commissioner for Patents, Washington, DC 20231.



PATENT

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Attorney Docket No. 03248.00045

In the Application of:

Mulligan et al.

Serial No. 10/005,085

Filed: December 4, 2001

For: MULTI-FUNCTIONAL COMPOSITE  
STRUCTURES

Group Art Unit: 1732

RECEIVED  
NOV - 1 2002  
TC 1700 MAIL ROOM

SUPPLEMENTAL INFORMATION DISCLOSURE STATEMENT

Assistant Commissioner for Patents  
Washington, D.C. 20231

Pursuant to 37 CFR 1.56, the attention of the Patent and Trademark Office is hereby directed to the references listed on the attached PTO-SB/08A. One copy of each reference is attached. It is respectfully requested that the information be expressly considered during the prosecution of this application, and that the references be made of record therein and appear among the "References Cited" on any patent issued therefrom.


U.S. PATENT DOCUMENTS

<u>U.S. Patent</u>	<u>Inventor(s)</u>	<u>Grant Date</u>
6,193,001 B1	Eyre et al.	February 27, 2001
6,315,065 B1	Yong et al.	November 13, 2001
6,325,165 B1	Eyre	December 4, 2001
6,361,873 B1	Yong et al.	March 26, 2002
6,451,442 B1	Sue et al.	September 17, 2002

The present Disclosure Statement is being submitted in compliance with 37 C.F.R. 1.56 as an Examiner might consider the cited documents important in deciding whether to allow the application to issue as a patent, but the citation of such documents is not to be construed as an admission that such documents are necessarily relevant or prior art. No representation is intended that the cited documents represent the results of a complete search, and it is anticipated that the Examiner in the normal course of examination, will make an independent search and will determine the best prior art consistent, and in the course of such search, will review for relevance the documents cited on the attached form even if not initialed.

Respectfully submitted,  
BANNER & WITCOFF, LTD.

Dated: October 30, 2002

By:   
Rebecca P. Rokos  
Reg. No. 42,109